| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------|
| | 10/661,137 | NORTE ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Benny Lee | 2817 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>Benny Lee</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>David Volejnicek</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 8 May 2008 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| 4, 6, 11, 18, 19 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: | | |
| It was agreed to clarify the claims and specification to indicated that the inductance wasset rather than "tuned" byselectingrather than "varying" the conductor width, as to properly characterize the invention. See accompanying examiners amendment. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview | | |
| did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | / by the examiner appears in F | ≺art II above. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |